

“Despite ethical concerns, nearly 70% of newsroom staffers say they use generative AI to create content.”



→ Data from the Associated Press survey report “Generative AI in Journalism: The Evolution of Newswork and Ethics in a Generative Information Ecosystem” by Nicholas Diakopoulos, Hannes Cools, Charlotte Li, Natali Helberger, Ernest Kung, Aimee Rinehart. April 2024.

AI n using AI in journalism

Idea & prompt:
Martin Henrich

  ChatGPT ▾

  MH

Can you generate a fictional discussion between two editors of a magazine on generative AI, debating whether or not to let ChatGPT author several of their articles and whether or not it is their journalistic responsibility to indicate that an article was written by AI and to provide their readers with the prompts given to said AI?



Editor 1: Alright, so let's dive into this topic of using generative AI for our magazine articles. I've been doing some research, and it seems like ChatGPT could be a game-changer for us.

Editor 2: Interesting, but I have some concerns. Sure, using AI could speed up our content creation process, but doesn't it compromise the integrity of our journalism? Can an AI really capture the nuances and insights that human writers bring to the table?

Editor 1: Valid point. However, ChatGPT has been trained on a vast amount of data and can mimic human writing quite convincingly. Plus, we can always review and edit the output to ensure it meets our standards.

Editor 2: But what about transparency? If we start using AI to write articles, shouldn't we disclose that fact to our readers? And should we also provide them with the prompts given to the AI so they understand the context?

Editor 1: I see where you're coming from. Transparency is crucial in journalism. Perhaps we could have a disclaimer at the beginning or end of the article stating that it was written with the assistance of AI. As for providing prompts, I'm not sure if our readers would be interested in that level of detail.

Editor 2: I disagree. Our readers deserve to know how the content is produced. If we're using AI to generate articles, they should know the extent of its involvement. It's not just about transparency; it's about maintaining trust with our audience.

Editor 1: Fair point. So, it seems like we're in agreement that using AI for content creation could be beneficial, but we need to be transparent about its involvement and provide context to our readers. How about we start with a trial run of having ChatGPT author a few articles, and we can gather feedback from our audience on how they feel about it?

Editor 2: That sounds like a reasonable approach. Let's proceed with caution and keep our journalistic integrity intact while exploring the potential of AI in our content creation process.

  ChatGPT ▾



Message ChatGPT ...



ChatGPT can make mistakes. Check important info.